## REVIEW

## **Open Access**

## Check for updates

# Impact of Fasting Mimicking Diet (FMD) on cardiovascular risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized control trials

Milad Mohammadzadeh<sup>1</sup>, Mahdi Amirpour<sup>1</sup>, Hamid Ahmadirad<sup>1</sup>, Fatemeh Abdi<sup>2</sup>, Saman Khalesi<sup>3</sup>, Niayesh Naghshi<sup>1</sup>, Alireza Bahrami<sup>1</sup> and Ehsan Hejazi<sup>1\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Introduction** The Fasting Mimicking Diet (FMD) has gained significant attention as a potential intervention for reducing cardiovascular risk factors. While studies have investigated its effectiveness, findings have been inconsistent. Therefore, this systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to clarify evidence on the impact of FMD on cardiovascular risk factors.

**Method** PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar were searched for eligible Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) published up to July 2024. Weighted mean differences (WMD) were calculated for the net changes in risk factors using random-effects models.

**Results** Eleven RCTs (with twelve treatment arms) were included. FMD significantly reduced glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (WMD = -8.589 mmol/mol, 95% CI: -12.389, -4.769), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) (WMD= -19.211 ng/ml, 95% CI: -32.986, -5.437), systolic blood pressure (SBP) (WMD = -4.148 mmHg, 95% CI: -7.584, -0.711), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (WMD = -2.263 mmHg, 95% CI: -4.162, -0.364) levels. No significant effects were observed on other cardiovascular risk factors.

**Conclusion** This meta-analysis suggests that FMD can significantly reduce HbA1c, IGF-1, SBP, and DBP levels. Further research is warranted to investigate the long-term and potential clinical implications of FMD on cardiovascular health.

**Prospero registration** The protocol of the study was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO registration no: CRD42024569426).

Keywords Fasting mimicking diet, FMD, Cardiovascular risk factors

\*Correspondence:

Sciences and Food Technology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical

Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Nutrition and food science, Tabriz

University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Appleton Institute, School of Health, Medical and Applied Sciences,

Central Queensland University, Brisbane, Australia



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Ehsan Hejazi Ehsanheiazi@sbmu.ac.ir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Nutrition

## Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) persists as the leading cause of mortality worldwide accounting for 32% of all global deaths. A cluster of risk factors, including hypertension, elevated cholesterol, obesity, insulin resistance, and chronic inflammation contribute significantly to CVD development [1]. The management of these risk factors through lifestyle modifications, particularly dietary interventions, represents a fundamental aspect of both the prevention and treatment of CVD. In recent years, Fasting Mimicking Diet (FMD) has gained significant attention as a novel approach that emulates the physiological benefits of traditional fasting while enabling limited caloric intake [2]. The objective of this dietary approach is to provide the metabolic advantages of fasting without the challenges associated with food abstinence. As a result, it has the potential to be a more acceptable and sustainable option for a broader population [1, 2].

FMD is a structured, low-calorie diet that is typically followed for five consecutive days, with a subsequent repetition of the diet every few months. During the fasting phase, the diet is designed to provide an adequate quantity of calories and specific nutrients to prevent the perception of starvation while simultaneously reducing calorie intake to a level that induces a fasting-like state [3, 4]. FMDs often contain low protein, moderate carbohydrate, and a relatively higher proportion of healthy fats, to emulate the physiological responses associated with fasting, including ketosis, cellular autophagy, and enhanced metabolic stress resilience, while inducing calorie restrictions. Following the fasting period, normal eating is resumed, therefore allowing for periodic metabolic reset without continuous caloric deprivation [4–6].

FMD has been demonstrated to exert significant effects on multiple cardiovascular risk factors. For example, FMD may reduce blood pressure, lower blood lowdensity lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and triglycerides, enhance insulin sensitivity, and promote weight loss [7– 9]. These beneficial effects are vital in the management of metabolic syndrome, a cluster of conditions that significantly elevate the risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes [1, 7]. FMD may also mitigate systemic inflammation, which is increasingly recognized as a contributing factor to atherosclerosis and other cardiovascular conditions [1]. FMD also reduces insulin-like growth

Table 1 PICOS criteria for inclusion and exclusion of studies

Parameters	criteria
Participants	Human adults
Intervention	Fasting mimicking intervention
Comparator	Placebo
Outcomes	No limitation
Study design	Clinical trials

factor 1 (IGF-1) levels, activates cellular autophagy, and reduces oxidative stress [5, 10], playing a significant role in cellular maintenance and repair, potentially delaying the onset of age-related diseases and improving cardiovascular health. In addition, FMD's influence on hormone regulation and lipid metabolism may contribute to improved endothelial function and vascular health, further reducing the risk of cardiovascular events [9–11].

While preliminary clinical studies suggest the potential benefits of FMD on cardiovascular risk factors, inconsistencies in the findings limit the derivation of conclusive evidence. Therefore, this review aims to synthesise this evidence by systematically pooling the effects of these individual clinical studies, providing a valuable resource for researchers, clinicians and policymakers aiming to reduce the burden of cardiovascular disease.

## Method

## Search strategy

This meta-analysis was designed following PRISMA guidelines. A comprehensive search of the literature was conducted using PubMed, SCOPUS, and ISI Web of Science databases. The PICOS approach was used to develop search terms using medical and non-medical subject headings and keywords including human adults (Population), fasting mimicking diet (Intervention), control diet (Comparator), cardiovascular risk factor outcomes (Outcome), and clinical trials (setting/design) (Table 1). The search strategy details for PubMed, SCO-PUS, and ISI Web of Science databases are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

English-language reports of relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs) published until July 2024 investigating the impact of fasting-mimicking diets on cardiovascular factors were included. The reference lists of the included articles and relevant reviews were also searched manually. Two reviewers (M.M. and F.A.) independently reviewed each article. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion with a third reviewer (E.H). PubMed email alert service was also set up to identify any new articles published after our initial search. The protocol of the study was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO registration no: CRD42024569426).

## Study selection

Studies were included based on the following eligibility criteria: (1) controlled trials with either a parallel or crossover design; (2) reported measurements on anthropometry, blood markers of glycemic status, blood pressure, blood lipids, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), and the inflammatory marker C-reactive Protein (CRP) at baseline and end of intervention in both intervention and control groups; (3) an appropriate controlled group where the sole difference between the control and intervention groups was the fasting-mimicking diet; (4) an intervention duration of at least one complete cycle (four continuous days during one month); and (5) conducted in adults > 18 years old. Studies that did not meet the eligibility criteria were excluded.

## **Data extraction**

Two investigators (M.M. and H.A.) independently reviewed the eligible RCTs and extracted relevant data using a standardized electronic form. The recorded characteristics of the studies included the first author's name, year of publication, study country, design, number of subjects in each group, and intervention characteristics including cycles of fasting-mimicking diet (FMD), duration, and participant characteristics (i.e. age, gender, and body mass index). Where studies used multiple (twoarmed) control groups, each control group was analyzed and reviewed separately.

## **Quality assessment**

The risk of bias of included studies was systematically assessed using the Cochrane quality assessment tool for RCTs. This tool consists of seven criteria for quality assessment, including random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation sequence concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective outcome reporting (reporting bias), and other potential sources of bias. The risk of bias in each study was categorized as low, high, or unclear [12].

#### Quantitative data synthesis and statistical analysis

The effect FMD intervention on the following cardiovascular risk factors were recorded: (1) weight (kg); (2) waist circumference (cm); (3) fat mass (kg); (4) fat free mass (kg); (5) BMI (kg.m<sup>-2</sup>); (6) systolic blood pressure (mmHg); (7) diastolic blood pressure (mmHg), (8) fasting blood sugar (mg/dl); (9) HbA1c (mmol/mol); (10) HOMA-IR; 11) IGF-1(ng/ml); 12) triglyceride (mg/dl); 13) total cholesterol (mg/dl); 14) HDL-cholesterol (mg/ dl); 15) LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) and 16) CRP (mg/l). Intervention effects were reported as weight mean difference (WMD) of cardiovascular risk factors along with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Mean difference was calculated based on net changes from the baseline value of the cardiovascular risk factors. Where the standard deviations (SDs) of change were not reported, the following formula was used: square root  $[(SD pre-intervention)^2 +$  $(SD \text{ post-intervention})^2 - (2 \text{ R} \times SD \text{ pre-intervention} - SD)$ post-intervention)], assuming a moderate correlation coefficient (R) = 0.5 [13]. When cardiovascular risk factor values were presented as medians and interquartile ranges (IQR), mean and standard SD were calculated using a previously defined method [14]. To convert the interquartile range (IQR) into a minimum-maximum range, the following equation was used: median + 2 × (Q3-median) and B = median – 2 ×(median-Q1), where A, B, Q1, and Q3 are upper and lower ends of the range, upper and lower ends of the IQR, respectively. Where standard errors (SE) were reported, SDs were calculated using the formula: SD = SE×sqrt (n), where (n) is the number of individuals in each group. Plot digitizer software was used to extract data when the outcome variable was exclusively presented in graphical form.

Heterogeneity among studies was assessed using Cochran's test (with a significance level of (P < 0.1)) and quantitatively through the I<sup>2</sup> statistic. An I<sup>2</sup> value of  $\geq$  50% indicated significant heterogeneity across the studies. The pooled effect size was calculated using the random effects model. A sensitivity analysis was performed using the leave-one-out method involving excluding individual studies from meta-analysis and exploring their influence on the overall effect size and heterogeneity [15]. Potential publication bias was assessed using funnel plots, Begg's rank correlation, and Egger's weighted regression tests. To account for this bias in the analyses the Duval and Tweedie 'trim and fill' and 'fail-safe N' methods were used [16]. Subgroup analyses based on population (diabetic and non-diabetic) and BMI ( $\geq 30$  or < 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were performed to investigate the differences in metaanalysis outcomes based on these variables. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) V3 software (Biostat, NJ) was used to perform meta-analyses.

## Results

## Selection and characteristics of included studies

A total of 583 publications were identified through the primary search of literature (Fig. 1). After excluding duplicates and irrelevant articles based on their title and abstracts, 28 studies remained. Following a full-text review, 17 studies were excluded due to the following reasons: no sufficient data (n = 7), not having a control group (n=2) [17, 18], animal and in-vitro study (n=3), non-English (n = 1), not having a control/placebo group (n = 1) [19], full text not accessible (n = 1), and insufficient duration of intervention (<4 continuous days, n=2) [8, 20]. Eleven RCTs met the eligibility criteria and were included in the current systematic review and meta-analysis [3, 7, 9, 10, 21–27]. Of these, 9 studies evaluated anthropometric measurements [7, 9, 10, 21-26], 9 investigated blood glycemic indices [7, 9, 10, 21-25, 27], 7 examined blood lipid profile [7, 9, 10, 21–24], 6 evaluated blood pressure [7, 9, 10, 21, 23, 24], and 6 evaluated CRP [3, 7, 9, 10, 21, 24].



Fig. 1 Flow chat of literature search process

### **Characteristics of included studies**

The main characteristics of the 11 qualified studies (12 sub-studies as Van der Velden et al. [9] study had two controls, n = 761) are reported in Table 2. Three studies exclusively included female participants [25–27] and others included all genders. FMD cycles varied from 1 to 12 with 1 to 48 weeks intervention durations. Participants aged between 31.1 and 64.9 years old with a BMI of between 25.7 and 33.9 kg/m2. Ten RCTs used a parallel design and one used a crossover design [21]. Studies included individuals with type 2 diabetes (n = 4) [9, 10, 23, 24], generally healthy adults (n = 3) [3, 21, 22], patients with breast cancer (n = 2) [26, 27], and overweight and obese individuals (n = 2) [7, 25].

## Data quality

Cochrane risk-of-bias assessment reported high quality with a total low risk of bias for all domains for the majority of studies included. However, two studies reported a moderate quality [3, 9] based on the risk of bias assessment (Table S2).

## Meta-analysis results

Pooled results from the random-effect model analysis suggested a slight but non-significant increase in fat-free mass following FMD (WMD = 0.885 kg, 95% CI: -0.059, 1.830, p = 0.066) (Fig. 2). However, there was no significant effect of FMD on other anthropometric measures including body weight (Figure S1), waist circumference (Figure S4), fat mass (Figure S7), and BMI (Figure S12). Heterogeneity was high for BMI (I<sup>2</sup>=96.4%, p < 0.001)

Author, Year	Location	Design	Female %	Dura- tion (week)	Enrol- ment, <i>n</i>	ln, n	CTRL, n	Age, mean	Type of intervention	Type of control diet	Cycle (day)	Population	BMI (Kg/ m2) mean
3randhorst et al., 2015]	USA	RP	42	12	38	19	19	IN (F: 41.8, M:42.5) CTRL (F:35.4, M:38.5)	FMD	Normal diet	3 (5)	Generally healthy adult	AN
Wei et al., [2017]	USA	RC	64	12	100	52	48	IN: 42.2, CTRL: 43.3	FMD	Normal diet	3 (5)	Generally healthy adult	26.6
Stefanie de groot et 11, [2020]	The Netherlands	RP	100	12	81	22	59	IN: 49, CTRL: 51	FMD	Normal diet	4 (4)	Breast cancer (HER2-negative)	25.7
ſang, Fang et al., 2020]	China	RP	54	16	100	50	50	IN: 50.02, CTRL: 49.84	FMD	Meal Replacement	3 (5)	Type 2 Diabetes	30.15
-ugtenberg et al., 2021]	The Netherlands	RP	100	12	74	21	53	IN: 49, CTRL: 51	FMD	Normal diet	4 (4)	Breast cancer (HER2-negative)	25.7
Sadeghian et al., 2021]	Iran	RP	100	ø	60	30	30	IN: 34.3, CTRL: 31.17	FMD	Continuous energy restriction	2 (5)	Metabolically healthy obese women	33.26
õulaj, Alba et al., 2022]	Germany	RP	27	24	40	21	19	IN: 64.9, CTRL: 31.17	FMD	Mediterranean diet	6 (5)	Type 2 Diabe- tes & diabetic nephropathy	30.9
/ideja, Melita et al., 2022]	Latvia	RP	65		43	24	19	IN: 39, CTRL: 37	FMD	Normal diet + vegetables	1 (5)	Generally healthy adult	28.8
Mishra, Amrendra st al., [2023]	USA	RP	62	16	84	4	40	IN: 54.86, CTRL: 63.13	FMD	Mediterranean diet	4 (5)	Overweight and obese hyperten- sive subjects	33.93
/an der Velden et 11. [2024] (a)	The Netherlands	RP2A	68	12	28	13	15	IN: 61, CTRL: 63	FMD	Placebo	3 (5)	Type 2 Diabetes	28.14
/an der Velden et 11. [2024] (b)	The Netherlands	RP2A	77	12	31	13	18	IN: 61, CTRL: 56	FMD	EndocalyxTM supplement	3 (5)	Type 2 Diabetes	29.46
/an den Burg et al., 20241	The Netherlands	RP	48	48	82	43	39	IN: 62, CTRL: 64	FMD	NA	12 (5)	Type 2 Diabetes	31.3

#### Fat Free Mass

Study name			Statistics fo	r each st	udy				Difference	in means ar	d 95% CI	
	Difference in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Sadeghian et al, [2021]	1.250	0.632	0.400	0.011	2.489	1.977	0.048	- T	1		- T	
Sulaj, Alba et al, [2022]	-4.400	3.527	12.439	-11.313	2.513	-1.248	0.212			_		
Mishra, Amrendra et al, [2023]	0.900	0.892	0.795	-0.847	2.647	1.010	0.313			-+■		
Van den Burg et al, [2024]	-1.900	2.490	6.201	-6.781	2.981	-0.763	0.445					
Wei et al, [2017]	0.700	1.808	3.270	-2.844	4.244	0.387	0.699		-		-	
	0.885	0.482	0.232	-0.059	1.830	1.837	0.066			$\diamond$		
								-12.50	-6.25	0.00	6.25	12.50
									Favours FMD	F	avours contr	ol

Fig. 2 Forest plot detailing weighted mean differences and 95% confidence interval for the impact of FMD on Fat Free Mass (kg)

#### HbA1c (mmol/mol)



Fig. 3 Forest plot detailing weighted mean differences and 95% confidence interval for the impact of FMD on Hemoglobin A1c (mmol/mol)

and waist circumference ( $I^2 = 93.9\%$ , p < 0.001), moderate for body weight ( $I^2 = 51.1\%$ , p = 0.069), and low for fat mass ( $I^2 = 15.82\%$ , p = 0.313), and fat-free mass ( $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.428) meta-analyses.FMD significantly reduced HbA1c (WMD = -8.589 mmol/mol, 95% CI: -12.389, -4.769, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3), and IGF-1 (WMD= -19.211 ng/ml, 95% CI: -32.986, -5.437, p = 0.006) (Fig. 4). No significant effect of FMD was observed on FBS (Figure S15), and HOMA-IR (Figure S20). Heterogeneity was high for FBS ( $I^2 = 91.54\%$ , p < 0.001), HbA1c ( $I^2 = 70.04\%$ , p = 0.005), HOMA-IR ( $I^2 = 68.44\%$ , p = 0.023), and IGF-1 ( $I^2 = 89.30\%$ , p < 0.001).

FMD also significantly reduced SBP (WMD = -4.148 mmHg, 95% CI: -7.584, -0.711, p=0.018) (Fig. 5) and DBP (WMD = -2.263 mmHg, 95% CI: -4.162, -0.364, p=0.020) (Fig. 6). Heterogeneity was medium but non-significant for SBP (I<sup>2</sup>=50.37%, p=0.060)] and DBP (I<sup>2</sup>=40.98%, p=0.118).

FMD's effects on triglycerides (Figure S29), total cholesterol (Figure S32), LDL-C (Figure S35) and HDL-C (Figure S38) were not significant. However, heterogeneities were high for LDL-C ( $I^2 = 90.54\%$ , p < 0.001), TGs ( $I^2 = 93.4\%$ , p < 0.001), TC ( $I^2 = 94.54\%$ , p < 0.001) and HDL-C ( $I^2 = 94.07\%$ , p = 0.007). FMD effect on CRP level was also non-significant (Figure S41), with low heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.432).

#### Sensitivity analysis

The leave-one-out sensitivity analyses did not significantly affect the overall results of the meta-analysis or the heterogeneity observed for body weight, waist circumference, fat mass, BMI, FBS, HbA1c, HOMA-IR, TGs, TC, LDL-C, and HDL-C meta-analyses. However, the meta-analysis of the effect of FMD on fat-free mass was sensitive to the studies by Sulaj et al. [24] and Van den et al. [10]. Excluding these studies resulted in a significant increase in fat-free mass following FMD IGF-1



Fig. 4 Forest plot detailing weighted mean differences and 95% confidence interval for the impact of FMD on IGF-1



Fig. 5 Forest plot detailing weighted mean differences and 95% confidence interval for the impact of FMD on Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)

_	_	_
	-	-
_	_	

Study name		5	Statistics fo	r each s	tudy				Difference	in means	and 95% Cl	
I	Difference in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Wei et al, [2017]	-2.400	1.819	3.310	-5.966	1.166	-1.319	0.187		- <b></b> -	<b>⊢</b> +−		
Tang et al, [2020]	-5.560	1.204	1.449	-7.919	-3.201	-4.619	0.000					
Sulaj et al, [2022]	-2.100	2.605	6.788	-7.206	3.006	-0.806	0.420			╸┼╴	_	
Mishra et al, [2023]	0.600	1.907	3.638	-3.138	4.338	0.315	0.753		-	∎	I	
Van der et al, [2024] (a	a) -1.000	2.991	8.946	-6.862	4.862	-0.334	0.738			╼┼		
Van der et al, [2024] (l	b) -2.000	3.004	9.023	-7.887	3.887	-0.666	0.506			╸┼╴		
Van den et al, [2024]	-1.100	1.546	2.391	-4.131	1.931	-0.711	0.477		I —	╼┼─	-	
	-2.263	0.969	0.939	-4.162	-0.364	-2.336	0.020					
								-10.00	-5.00	0.00	5.00	
									Favours FMD		Favours contro	ы

Fig. 6 Forest plot detailing weighted mean differences and 95% confidence interval for the impact of FMD on Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)

(WMD = 0.486 kg, 95% CI: 0.032, 1.939, p = 0.043; and WMD = 0.491 kg, 95% CI: 0.031, 1.956, *p* = 0.043, respectively). Excluding studies by Stefanie de Groot et al. [27] and Wei [21] from meta-analysis resulted in a nonsignificant effect of FMD on IGF-1 (WMD = -11.565 ng/ml, 95% CI: -30.743, 7.613, p = 0.237; and WMD = -13.255 ng/ml, 95% CI: -37.880, 11.370, *p* = 0.291, respectively). SBP and DBP meta-analyses were also sensitive to the study by Tang et al. [23], resulting in a non-significant reduction in SBP (WMD = -2.485 mmHg, 95% CI: -5.512, 0.543, p = 0.108), and DBP (WMD = -1.218mmHg, 95% CI: -2.896, 0.459, *p* = 0.155). Also, the metaanalysis of CRP was sensitive to the exclusion of the Van den et al. [10] study, resulting in a significant reduction following FMD (WMD = -0.577 mg/l, 95% CI: -1.139, -0.015, p = 0.044). All figures for sensitivity analyses are presented in the Supplemental File.

### Subgroup analysis

In order to identify sources of heterogeneity and better assess the effects of FMD in different populations, subgroup analyses were performed (Table S3). Subgroup analysis of diabetic group suggested a significant reduction in body weight (WMD = -6.64 kg, 95% CI: -12.0, -1.22, p = 0.016), waist circumference (WMD = -6.72 cm, 95% CI: -10.83, -2.61, p = 0.001), BMI (WMD = -2.47 kg/m2, 95% CI: -4.08, -0.86, p = 0.003), SBP (WMD = -6.01 mm Hg, 95% CI: -9.33, -2.69, p < 0.001), and DBP (WMD = -2.86 mm Hg, 95% CI: -5.14, -0.58, p = 0.014) following FMD. Among non-diabetic individuals, only a significant reduction was observed in body weight (WMD = 0.88 kg, 95% CI: 0.01, 1.75, p = 0.045) following FMD (Table S3).

Subgroup analysis based on BMI suggested a significant decrease in BMI (WMD = -0.96 kg/m2, 95% CI: -1.77, -0.15, p = 0.020), SBP (WMD = -4.79 mm Hg, 95% CI: -9.06, -0.51, p = 0.028), CRP (WMD = -0.59 mg/L, 95% CI: -1.18, -0.01, p = 0.046), and FBS (WMD = -3.30 mg/dl, 95% CI: -6.20, -0.40, p = 0.025) among participants with BMI < 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. However, the meta-analysis effects were not significant for the effect of FMD on any risk factors in a subgroup of participants with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (Table S3).

## **Publication bias**

Funnel plots suggested a visual asymmetry in the metaanalyses of FMD effects on cardiovascular risk factors (see Supplemental File). Egger's regression tests also suggested a significant publication bias for the effects of FMD on body weight (p = 0.002), fat-free mass (p = 0.027), and fat mass (p = 0.025). However, no evidence of publication bias for the effect of FMD on other risk factors was reported (Egger's regression test p-values  $\ge 0.05$ ). Similarly, no publication bias was observed based on Begg's test (p-values  $\geq$  0.05), with the exceptions of fat-free mass (*p* = 0.027) and TC (*p* = 0.035) (Table S4).

## Discussion

The findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis suggested that FMD cycles may significantly reduce some cardiovascular risk factors including HbA1c, IGF-1, SBP, and DBP. It may also result in a slight but non-significant increase in fat-free mass.

Literature suggests FMD may lead to a reduction in insulin secretion and an increase in glucagon [1, 6, 7, 9], which can facilitate lipolysis and gluconeogenesis, therefore, preventing the utilization of muscle protein as an energy source [1, 6, 7, 9]. During periods of fasting or fasting-mimicking diets, growth hormone levels tend to increase, which facilitates the preservation of lean body mass and enhances fat metabolism [10]. Growth hormone plays a role in the preservation of muscle proteins by facilitating the oxidation of fat and the utilization of stored fat reserves [1]. FMD induces a state of ketosis, whereby the body shifts from utilizing glucose as its primary fuel source to relying on ketones derived from fatty acids. The provision of ketones serves as an alternative energy source for the brain and muscles and helps preserve muscle proteins and maintain fat-free mass [1]. Also, the low protein in FMD triggers adaptive responses that prioritize the preservation of muscle protein [6]. This results in the body becoming more efficient at recycling amino acids and reducing protein breakdown, thus maintaining fat-free mass. FMD is also capable of reducing systemic inflammation and oxidative stress [21]. Chronic inflammation and oxidative stress can contribute to the development of muscle wasting and a reduction in fatfree mass. By reducing inflammation and oxidative stress, FMD helps to safeguard muscle tissue and maintain lean body mass. Also, FMD activates autophagy, a cellular process where damaged proteins and organelles are broken down and recycled. This not only helps in clearing out cellular debris but also ensures that cells maintain their function and integrity. Efficient autophagy contributes to muscle health by preventing the accumulation of damaged proteins and supporting muscle maintenance during periods of low nutrient availability [5, 6, 21, 27].

The findings of this study also suggested that FMD results in a reduction in HbA1c and IGF-1 levels. Evidence indicates that the reduction observed in HbA1c levels following FMD may be more pronounced among individuals with elevated blood glucose levels or an increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes [1, 7, 20]. However, this was not reported in the subgroup of diabetes individuals in the current meta-analysis. The reduction in caloric intake and carbohydrate consumption during FMD periods can result in a decrease in blood glucose levels, which subsequently leads to a reduction

in the glycation of hemoglobin over time [5], therefore potentially helping with HbA1c regulations. The reduction in circulating levels of IGF-1 reported from this meta-analysis could be attributed to the decreased protein intake during fasting mimicking periods, particularly the intake of amino acids such as methionine, which have been demonstrated to stimulate IGF-1 production [22]. FMD exerts influence over a number of pathways associated with the processes of aging and disease, most notably through the downregulation of the growth hormone/IGF-1 axis [26]. A reduction in IGF-1 levels has been linked to a decline in cellular proliferation and an increase in autophagy, a cellular cleansing process that eliminates damaged cells and enhances cellular health [25].

The findings also suggested that FMD can reduce blood pressure. This can have significant benefits for individuals with hypertension or high blood pressure. FMD protocols typically restrict sodium intake [7], which can contribute to a reduction in blood volume and a subsequent lowering of blood pressure. FMD may also improve endothelial function, and facilitate nitric oxide production [7]. Nitric oxide is a vasodilator, facilitating relaxation and dilation of blood vessels and reducing blood pressure [1]. FMD may also reduce markers of inflammation [1]. Lower inflammation levels can enhance endothelial function, which is crucial for NO production [25]. In fact, FMD mimics the effects of fasting, which can lead to metabolic adaptations that enhance the production of NO. Also, the anti-inflammatory effects of FMD may contribute to a reduction in vascular inflammation, which in turn may result in a lowering of systolic blood pressure [1, 7, 25]. Additionally, FMD has the potential to impact the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), a hormonal regulatory mechanism that oversees blood pressure and fluid balance. By modulating this system, FMD can help reduce vascular resistance and lower blood pressure [1, 7].

A meta-analysis examining the effects of the ketogenic diet in a diabetic population found that the diet can have a positive impact on lipid profiles. However, it did not show significant effects on blood sugar control or weight management [28]. The fasting mimicking diet (FMD), in line with the DASH diet, has the potential to lower blood pressure. This study examining the effect of the FMD, combined with a previous study, has effectively shown that adherence to the DASH diet can lead to significant reductions in blood pressure [29].

This study has several strengths. Major cardiovascular risk factors were investigated in this meta-analysis and subgroup analyses. However, some limitations exist. There were variations in the intervention groups and the control groups' diets across studies. Moderate to high heterogeneities were also observed in the meta-analysis of most risk factors. While subgroup and sensitivity analyses aimed to investigate the source of heterogeneity, the variation and heterogeneity observed may limit the comparability of findings across studies.

## Conclusion

This meta-analysis suggests the Fasting Mimicking Diet (FMD) may significantly reduce HbA1c, IGF-1, SBP, and DBP levels in adults. However, the effect of FMD on other cardiovascular risk factors remains uncertain. Further research is warranted to investigate the potential long-term effect of FMD on cardiovascular risk factors and the underlying mechanisms of action in diverse populations.

#### Abbreviations

BMI	Body Mass Index
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
CRP	C-Reactive Protein
Cls	Confidence intervals
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
FM	Fat mass
FFM	Fat free mass
FBS	Fasting blood sugar
FMD	Fasting Mimicking Diet
HDL	High Density Lipoprotein
HbA1c	Hemoglobin A1C
HOMA-IR	Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance
IGF-1	Insulin-like growth factor 1
LDL	Low Density Lipoprotein
RCTs	Randomized controlled trials
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
SDs	Standard deviations
TG	Triglyceride
TC	Total cholesterol
IQR	Interquartile ranges
WMD	Weight mean difference
WC	Waist circumference

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.or g/10.1186/s13098-025-01709-5.

Supplementary Material 1

#### Author contributions

MM: Conceptualization, Data collection, Validation of results, data analysis, writing original and final draft version, review & editing, MA: writing original, HA: Data collection, FA: Data collection, SK: Review and editing, AB: Review and editing. EH: Review and editing.

#### Funding

There is no fund for this study.

#### Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due confidential and private information but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### **Ethical approval**

No ethical statement was required for this study, no human or animal subjects or materials were used.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 12 January 2025 / Accepted: 21 April 2025 Published online: 26 April 2025

#### References

- 1. Crupi AN, Haase J, Brandhorst S, Longo VD. Periodic and intermittent fasting in diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Curr Diab Rep. 2020;20(12):83.
- van der Velden AIM, DHT IJ, Chandie Shaw PK, Pijl H, Vink H, van der Vlag J, et al. Role of dietary interventions on microvascular health in South-Asian Surinamese people with type 2 diabetes in the Netherlands: A randomized controlled trial. Nutr Diabetes. 2024;14(1):17.
- Brandhorst S, Choi IY, Wei M, Cheng CW, Sedrakyan S, Navarrete G, et al. A periodic diet that mimics fasting promotes multi-system regeneration, enhanced cognitive performance, and healthspan. Cell Metabol. 2015;22(1):86–99.
- Vernieri C, Fucà G, Ligorio F, Huber V, Vingiani A, Iannelli F, et al. Fastingmimicking diet is safe and reshapes metabolism and antitumor immunity in patients with cancer. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(1):90–107.
- 5. van den Burg EL, Schoonakker MP, van Peet PG, van den Akker-van Marle ME, van Willems K, Longo VD, et al. Fasting in diabetes treatment (FIT) trial: study protocol for a randomised, controlled, assessor-blinded intervention trial on the effects of intermittent use of a fasting-mimicking diet in patients with type 2 diabetes. BMC Endocr Disorders. 2020;20(1):94.
- van den Burg EL, Schoonakker MP, Korpershoek B, Sommeling LE, Sturm CA, Lamb HJ, et al. Self-initiated lifestyle changes during a fasting-mimicking diet programme in patients with type 2 diabetes: a mixed-methods study. BMC Prim Care. 2024;25(1):148.
- Mishra A, Fanti M, Ge X, Vaughn D, Brandhorst S, Wei M, et al. Fasting mimicking diet cycles versus a mediterranean diet and cardiometabolic risk in overweight and obese hypertensive subjects: a randomized clinical trial. Npj Metabolic Health Disease. 2023;1(1):1.
- Huang AW, Wei M, Caputo S, Wilson ML, Antoun J, Hsu WC. An intermittent fasting mimicking nutrition bar extends physiologic ketosis in time restricted eating: a randomized, controlled, parallel-arm study. Nutrients. 2021;13(5):1523.
- van der Velden AI, IJpelaar DH, Chandie Shaw PK, Pijl H, Vink H, van der Vlag J, et al. Role of dietary interventions on microvascular health in South-Asian Surinamese people with type 2 diabetes in the Netherlands: A randomized controlled trial. Nutr Diabetes. 2024;14(1):17.
- van den Burg EL, Schoonakker MP, van Peet PG, van den Akker-van Marle EM, Lamb HJ, Longo VD, et al. Integration of a fasting-mimicking diet programme in primary care for type 2 diabetes reduces the need for medication and improves glycaemic control: a 12-month randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia. 2024;67(7):1245–59.
- Valdemarin F, Caffa I, Persia A, Cremonini AL, Ferrando L, Tagliafico L et al. Safety and feasibility of Fasting-Mimicking diet and effects on nutritional status and Circulating metabolic and inflammatory factors in Cancer patients undergoing active treatment. Cancers. 2021;13(16).
- 12. Higgins J. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Version 5.1. 0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration. www cochrane-handbook org. 2011.
- 13. Jpt H. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. 2008. http: //www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2005;5:1–10.

- Mohammadi-Sartang M, Mazloom Z, Sherafatmanesh S, Ghorbani M, Firoozi D. Effects of supplementation with Quercetin on plasma C-reactive protein concentrations: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2017;71(9):1033–9.
- Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics. 2000;56(2):455–63.
- Fay-Watt V, O'Connor S, Roshan D, Romeo AC, Longo VD, Sullivan FJ. The impact of a fasting mimicking diet on the metabolic health of a prospective cohort of patients with prostate cancer: a pilot implementation study. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2023;26(2):317–22.
- Brandhorst S, Levine ME, Wei M, Shelehchi M, Morgan TE, Nayak KS, et al. Fasting-mimicking diet causes hepatic and blood markers changes indicating reduced biological age and disease risk. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1):1309.
- Elbanna RHM, Elabd SOA, Alghitany SIA. Comparing the influence of foot reflexology and fasting mimicking diet on quality of life and sleep quality in obesity hypoventilation syndrome. J Complement Integr Med. 2023;20(1):207–13.
- Van Dyck L, Vanhorebeek I, Wilmer A, Schrijvers A, Derese I, Mebis L, et al. Towards a fasting-mimicking diet for critically ill patients: the pilot randomized crossover ICU-FM-1 study. Crit Care (London England). 2020;24(1):249.
- Wei M, Brandhorst S, Shelehchi M, Mirzaei H, Cheng CW, Budniak J, et al. Fasting-mimicking diet and markers/risk factors for aging, diabetes, cancer, and cardiovascular disease. Sci Transl Med. 2017;9(377):eaai8700.
- Videja M, Sevostjanovs E, Upmale-Engela S, Liepinsh E, Konrade I, Dambrova M. Fasting-mimicking diet reduces trimethylamine N-oxide levels and improves serum biochemical parameters in healthy volunteers. Nutrients. 2022;14(5):1093.
- Tang F, Lin X. Effects of Fasting-Mimicking diet and specific meal replacement foods on blood glucose control in patients with type 2 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Oxidative Med Cell Longev. 2020;2020(1):6615295.
- Sulaj A, Kopf S, von Rauchhaupt E, Kliemank E, Brune M, Kender Z, et al. Six-month periodic fasting in patients with type 2 diabetes and diabetic nephropathy: a proof-of-concept study. J Clin Endocrinol Metabolism. 2022;107(8):2167–81.
- Sadeghian M, Hosseini SA, Zare Javid A, Ahmadi Angali K, Mashkournia A. Effect of fasting-mimicking diet or continuous energy restriction on weight loss, body composition, and appetite-regulating hormones among metabolically healthy women with obesity: a randomized controlled, parallel trial. Obes Surg. 2021;31:2030–9.
- Lugtenberg RT, de Groot S, Kaptein AA, Fischer MJ, Kranenbarg EM-K, Carpentier MD-d, et al. Quality of life and illness perceptions in patients with breast cancer using a fasting mimicking diet as an adjunct to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in the phase 2 DIRECT (BOOG 2013–14) trial. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2021;185:741–58.
- de Groot S, Lugtenberg RT, Cohen D, Welters MJ, Ehsan I, Vreeswijk MP, et al. Fasting mimicking diet as an adjunct to neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer in the multicentre randomized phase 2 DIRECT trial. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):3083.
- 28. Choy KYC, Louie JCY. The effects of the ketogenic diet for the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of recent studies. Diabetes Metabolic Syndrome. 2023;17(12):102905.
- Valenzuela-Fuenzalida JJ, Bravo VS, Valarezo LM, Delgado Retamal MF, Leiva JM, Bruna-Mejías A et al. Effectiveness of DASH diet versus other diet modalities in patients with metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients. 2024;16(18).

## Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.